- Molecular Structure Corporation (1997). TEXSAN for Windows (Version 1.03) and Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software (Version 1.04). MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Tanogaki, M., Kawata, T., Ohba, S., Iwata, Y. & Shibuya, I. (1993). Acta Cryst. B49, 1031-1039.

Zachariasen, W. H. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 558-564.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1717-1719

Bis(*epi*-globulyloxy)(phthalocyaninato)silicon,† a new photosensitizer for the photodynamic therapy of cancer

Richard Decréau,^a Michel Julliard^a and Michel Giorgi^b

^aLaboratoire AM3, ESA CNRS 6009, Case 561, Faculté des Sciences de St Jérôme, Av. Escadrille Normandie Niemen, 13397 Marseille CEDEX 20, France, and ^bLBS, UMR 6517, Faculté des Sciences de St Jérôme, Av. Escadrille Normandie Niemen, 13397 Marseille CEDEX 20, France. E-mail: michel.giorgi@lbs.u-3mrs.fr

(Received 28 May 1999; accepted 28 June 1999)

Abstract

The structure of bis(epi-globulyloxy)(phthalocyaninato)silicon, C₆₂H₆₆N₈O₂Si or [Si(C₃₂H₁₆N₈)(C₁₅H₂₅O)₂], has been assigned. The Si atom is coordinated to the N atoms of the phthalocyanine ring and bridges the two *epi*-globulyloxy moieties through two Si—O bonds, which are almost perpendicular to the phthalocyanine plane. The molecule displays a pseudo-centrosymmetric character relative to silicon, but the two apical ligands break the symmetry.

Comment

In recent publications (Decréau, Chanon & Julliard, 1998, 1999), we described the binding of steroid moieties on silicon and aluminium phthalocyanines, leading to new efficient photosensitizers against human melanoma cells in the photodynamic therapy of cancer (Decréau, Verrando *et al.*, 1998). The binding of sesquiterpenoid moieties was also performed in order to reach a higher solubility, to decrease the stacking aggregation of the phthalocyanine (PHC) rings, and to increase the molecular recognition for the liposomedelivered new photosensitizers. It was then achieved by reacting the *epi*-globulol alcoholate with dichlorosilicon phthalocyanine, (1) (Decréau, Julliard *et al.*, 1999), leading to bis(*epi*-globulyloxy)(phthalocyaninato)silicon, (2), in 65% yield. The *in vitro* photodynamic activity of (2) was higher than that of (1) (Decréau, 1998). The structure of (2) has been assigned here by X-ray crystallography analysis.



The core of photosensitizer (2) is composed of two epi-globulol moieties, each of which is connected to the silicon phthalocyanine via an Si-O bond. The Si atom lies in the middle of the phthalocyanine cavity and exhibits an octahedral geometry with the two bridging O atoms in apical positions. Each epi-globulol is composed of a seven-membered ring to which threeand five-membered rings are fused. The molecular structure of (2) shows that these units are related by a pseudo-twofold axis parallel to the O-Si-O direction, conferring a centrosymmetric character on the structure. However, the relative orientation of the seven- or fivemembered rings breaks this symmetry; the C54-C58 and the C39–C43 cycles point in the same direction (Fig. 1). The geometrical parameters of (2) show that the PHC macrocycle is essentially planar, as previously described in the case of other metallophthalocyanines (Mooney et al., 1975; Silver et al., 1998; Brewis et al., 1998).

The most interesting geometrical parameters involve the inner Si atom of (2). The average Si-O bond length is 1.696 (3) Å and the average Si—N distance is 1.919 (6) Å; nevertheless, two Si—N bonds are observed at 1.906(3) and 1.934(3) Å. The O-Si-O bridge is almost linear [175.4(2)°], and the Si-O-C angles are 148.0(3) and $148.4(3)^{\circ}$. These parameters can be compared to those of silicon phthalocyanine (Si-PHC) bearing two trimethylsiloxy groups, (3) (Mooney et al., 1975), two ferrocenylcarboxylate groups, (4) (Silver et al., 1998), or two Frechet's dendritic groups, (5) (Brewis et al., 1998), or to those of compounds containing two silicon phthalocyanine moieties, (6), e.g. oligomerized silicon phthalocyanines $(Si-PHC-O)_n$ (n = 2, x), where the PHC units are connected to each other via a μ -oxo bridge. So the Si-O and Si-N bond lengths display

[†] Alternative name: (1a,2,3,4,4a,5,6,7,7a,7b-decahydro-1,1,4,7-tetra-methyl-1H-cycloprop[*e*]azulen-4-olato-*O* $)(phthalocyaninato-<math>N^{29}N^{30}$,- $N^{31}N^{32}$)silicon.



Fig. 1. An ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) view of compound (2) with displacement ellipsoids at the 20% probability level. H atoms have been omitted.

a significant difference depending on the axial ligand; the average Si—O length is 1.679(3) Å for (3) [which is close to the average length for (2)] and is 1.750 Å for (4). This shows that, contrary to the C atoms of the ferrocenylcarboxylate groups of (4), the C atoms of the epi-globulol groups of (2) or the Si atoms (axial ligand) of the trimethylsiloxy groups of (3) are less able to compete for the oxygen than the Si(PHC) atom. The average Si-O bond length is reduced to 1.673 Å for (6). It is worth comparing these data with Al-PHCbearing nitrite groups in which the axial M—O bond length increases to 1.927 (2) Å (Assman & Homborg, 1996). On the other hand, the average Si-N length is 1.919 (9) Å for (3), which is closer to the value observed for (2) than the value observed for (4) (1.905 Å). The average Si-N bond length is therefore longer in (2) and (3). This may be a consequence of the shorter Si-O axial bonds which leave the Si atom not needing any electron density. This need is compensated in (4) because the Si atom bonds more strongly to the N atom in the PHC ring (Silver et al., 1998). Finally, an examination of each Si-N bond length reveals that in (3) and (4), the Si atom is centered into the cavity of the PHC. On the contrary, in (2), Si is closer to the N atoms of two adjacent pyrrolic units (N1 and N3) than to the N atoms of the opposite units (N5 and N7).

The O—Si—O bridge is almost linear in (2) $[175.4(2)^{\circ}]$, as for (3) (177.4°) and (5) (179°) . The average angle around the O atom connecting the Si-PHC to the atom (L) of the axial ligand is 148.2 (3)° for (2) (L = C) and can be compared to other Si-PHC compounds where L = Si; the angle is 157.8 (1)° for (3) and 180° for (6) which is an oligometric Si-PHC. In the case of other oligometric M-PHC (M = Al, Ge, Sn, Fe, Mn), the angle around the bridging O atom is also linear (Kroenke *et al.*, 1963; Wynne, 1985; Dirk *et al.*, 1983).

The shortest intramolecular distance between the core of the ligand and the ring of the phthalocyanine is 3.297 (4) Å between N3 and C59. This implies a limited interaction by comparison with the shorter 3.177 (7) Å distance measured between an indolenic N atom of Si-PHC and an axial dendritic substituent in compound (5) (Brewis *et al.*, 1998).

Experimental

Bis(*epi*-globulyloxy)(phthalocyaninato)silicon, (2), was obtained in 65% yield from the reaction of *epi*-globulol alcoholate with dichlorosilicon phthalocyanine (Decréau, Julliard *et al.*, 1999). Crystal data $C_{62}H_{66}N_8O_2Si$ $M_r = 983.33$ Triclinic P1 a = 9.608 (1) Å b = 10.742 (1) Å c = 14.468 (1) Å $\alpha = 107.121 (1)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 102.757 (1)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 104.349 (1)^{\circ}$ $V = 1311.1 (4) Å^3$ Z = 1 $D_x = 1.24 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_m = 1.25 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

KappaCCD diffractometer	3561 reflections
φ scan	$I > 2\sigma(I)$
Absorption correction: none	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.78^{\circ}$
4809 measured reflections	$h = 0 \rightarrow 12$
4809 independent reflections	$k = -13 \rightarrow 11$
	$l = -18 \rightarrow 17$

Refinement

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.03F^2]$ Refinement on F R = 0.062 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.007$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.23 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ wR = 0.114 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ S = 1.01813561 reflections Extinction correction: none Scattering factors from 395 parameters Waasmaier & Kirfel H-atom parameters not refined (1995)

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Sil—Ol	1.711 (3)	Sil—N5	1.934 (3)
Si1—O2	1.682 (3)	Sil—N7	1.930 (3)
Sil—NI	1.906 (3)	O1-C33	1.416 (5)
Sil—N3	1.912 (3)	O2—C48	1.427 (4)
01—Si1—O2	175.4 (2)	O2-Si1-N3	92.7 (2)
O1—Si1—N1	89.0 (2)	Si1-01-C33	148.4 (3)
01-Si1-N3	83.6 (2)	Si1-02-C48	148.0 (3)
02—Si1—N1	93.7 (2)		

The measurements were carried out on a Nonius area detector through a 180° scan (no Friedel pairs were required, so no complete sphere was measured, as there was no use in checking the absolute configuration) in $2^{\circ} \varphi$ steps.

Data collection: KappaCCD Software (Nonius, 1997). Data reduction: maXus (Mackay et al., 1998). Program(s) used to solve structure: maXus. Program(s) used to refine structure: maXus. Molecular graphics: maXus. Software used to prepare material for publication: maXus.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NA1427). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

Assman, B. & Homborg, H. (1996). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 622, 766– 770.

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 15729 reflections $\theta = 1-25^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.098 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 KThin plate $0.6 \times 0.4 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$ Dark blue

with

Brewis, M., Clarkson, G. J., Goddard, V., Helliwell, M., Holder, A. M. & McKeown, N. B. (1998). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 37, 1092-1094.
Decréau, R. (1998). PhD thesis, University of Aix-Marseille III, France.
Decréau, R., Chanon, M. & Julliard, M. (1998). Synlett, 4, 375-376.
Decréau, R., Chanon, M. & Julliard, M. (1999). Synth. Commun. In

- the press. Decréau, R., Julliard, M., Faure, R. & Chanon, M. (1999). *Magn. Res. Chem.* Submitted.
- Decréau, R., Verrando, P., Richard, M. J. & Julliard, M. (1998). 7th Biennial Congress of the International Photodynamic Association, Nantes, France. Communication.
- Dirk, C. W., Inabe, T., Schoch, K. F. & Marks, T. J. (1983). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 105, 1539–1550.
- Ercolani, C., Gardini, M., Monacelli, F., Pennesi, G. & Rossi, G. (1983). *Inorg. Chem.* 22, 2584–2589.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kroenke, W. J., Sutton, L. E., Joyner, R. D. & Kenney, M. E. (1963). *Inorg. Chem.* 2, 1064–1065.
- Mackay, S., Gilmore, C. J., Edwards, C., Tremayne, M., Stewart, N. & Shankland, K. (1998). maXus. A Computer Program for the Solution and Refinement of Crystal Structures from Diffraction Data. University of Glasgow, Scotland, Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands, and MacScience Co. Ltd, Yokohama, Japan.
- Mooney, J. R., Choy, C. K., Knox, K. & Kenney, M. E. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 3033–3038.
- Nonius (1997). KappaCCD Software. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Silver, J., Sosa-Sanchez, J. L. & Frampton, C. S. (1998). *Inorg. Chem.* **37**, 411–417.
- Waasmaier, D. & Kirfel, A. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 416-431.
- Wynne, K. (1985). Inorg. Chem. 24, 1339-1343.

Acta Cryst. (1999). C55, 1719-1721

Methyl β -lactoside (methyl 4-O- β -D-galactopyranosyl- β -D-glucopyranoside) methanol solvate

ROLAND STENUTZ, MAOYU SHANG AND ANTHONY S. SERIANNI

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, IN 46556-5670, USA. E-mail: serianni.1@nd.edu

(Received 18 January 1999; accepted 10 June 1999)

Abstract

The structure of the title compound, $C_{13}H_{24}O_{11}$ ·CH₄O, has been determined. The glycosidic torsion angles, φ (O5'--C1'--O4--C4) and ψ (C1'--O4--C4--C5), have values of -88.4 (2) and -161.3 (2)°, respectively. The structure closely resembles that of methyl β -cellobioside (methyl 4-O- β -D-glucopyranosyl- β -Dglucopyranoside) methanol solvate, the difference being the stereochemistry at a single position (C4').